arXiv:physics/0006036v1 [physics.gen-ph] 15 Jun 2000

# Whence the Gauge Fields arise

G. Quznetsov
gunn@yahoo.com

February 2, 2008

**Abstract**

A gauge fields (and massive, too) arise from the production of the probability by the spinors.

I use the following notation:

$$1_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, 0_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\sigma_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & -\sigma_x \end{bmatrix}, \beta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_y & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & -\sigma_y \end{bmatrix}, \beta_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_z & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & -\sigma_z \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\beta_4 = i \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0_2 & 1_2 \\ -1_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix}, \beta_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 1_2 \end{bmatrix} = 1_4, \gamma_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0_2 & 1_2 \\ 1_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix} = \beta_5,$$

$$\gamma_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & -1_2 \end{bmatrix}, 0_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

Here $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$, $\beta_3$, $\beta_4$, $\gamma_0$ are all five members of the light Clifford pentad [2],[1] and

$$\gamma_5 = i \cdot \gamma_0 \cdot \beta_4,$$

# 1 The probability current vector

Let

$$\langle \rho, j_x, j_y, j_z \rangle$$

be a probability current vector and $\psi$ be any complex 4-spinor:

$$\psi = |\psi| \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} \exp(i \cdot g) \cdot \cos(b) \cdot \cos(a) \\ \exp(i \cdot d) \cdot \sin(b) \cdot \cos(a) \\ \exp(i \cdot f) \cdot \cos(v) \cdot \sin(a) \\ \exp(i \cdot q) \cdot \sin(v) \cdot \sin(a) \end{array} \right].$$

In this case the following system of equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \psi^\dagger \cdot \psi = \rho, \\ \psi^\dagger \cdot \beta_1 \cdot \psi = j_x, \\ \psi^\dagger \cdot \beta_2 \cdot \psi = j_y, \\ \psi^\dagger \cdot \beta_3 \cdot \psi = j_z \end{array} \right| \tag{1}$$

has got the following type:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \psi^\dagger \cdot \psi = \rho, \\ |\psi|^2 \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} \cos^2(a) \cdot \sin(2 \cdot b) \cdot \cos(d - g) - \\ - \sin^2(a) \cdot \sin(2 \cdot v) \cdot \cos(q - f) \end{array} \right) = j_x, \\ |\psi|^2 \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} \cos^2(a) \cdot \sin(2 \cdot b) \cdot \sin(d - g) - \\ - \sin^2(a) \cdot \sin(2 \cdot v) \cdot \sin(q - f) \end{array} \right) = j_y, \\ |\psi|^2 \cdot \left( \cos^2(a) \cdot \cos(2 \cdot b) - \sin^2(a) \cdot \cos(2 \cdot v) \right) = j_z \end{array} \right|.$$

Hence for every probability current vector $\langle \rho, j_x, j_y, j_z \rangle$: the spinor $\psi$, obeyed to this system, exists.

If

$$\mathbf{j} = \rho \cdot \mathbf{u}$$

then $\mathbf{u}$ is the average velocity.

The light pentad contains $\beta_4$, $\gamma_0$ besides $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$, $\beta_3$ and yet two components of velocity can be defined as the following:

$$j_\xi = \psi^\dagger \cdot \gamma_0 \cdot \psi,\ j_\kappa = \psi^\dagger \cdot \beta_4 \cdot \psi,\ j_\xi = \rho \cdot u_\xi \cdot \psi,\ j_\kappa = \rho \cdot u_\kappa.$$

In this case:

$$u_\xi = \sin\left(2\cdot a\right)\cdot\left[\cos\left(b\right)\cdot\cos\left(v\right)\cdot\cos\left(g-f\right)+\sin\left(b\right)\cdot\sin\left(v\right)\cdot\cos\left(d-q\right)\right],$$
$$u_\kappa = \sin\left(2\cdot a\right)\cdot\left[\cos\left(b\right)\cdot\cos\left(v\right)\cdot\sin\left(g-f\right)+\sin\left(b\right)\cdot\sin\left(v\right)\cdot\sin\left(d-q\right)\right];$$

and:

$$u_x^2+u_y^2+u_z^2+u_\xi^2+u_\kappa^2=1.$$

Hence for the completeness, yet two "space" coordinates $\xi$ and $\kappa$ should be added to our three $x, y, z$.

## 2 The Hamiltonian

The operator $\widehat{U}\left(t,\triangle t\right)$, which acts in the set of these spinors, is denoted as the evolution operator for the spinor $\psi\left(t,\mathbf{x}\right)$, if:

$$\psi\left(t+\triangle t,\mathbf{x}\right)=\widehat{U}\left(t,\triangle t\right)\psi\left(t,\mathbf{x}\right).$$

$\widehat{U}\left(t,\triangle t\right)$ is a linear operator.

The set of the spinors, for which $\widehat{U}\left(t,\triangle t\right)$ is the evolution operator, is denoted as the operator $\widehat{U}\left(t,\triangle t\right)$ space.

The operator space is the linear space.

Let for an infinitesimal $\triangle t$:

$$\widehat{U}\left(t,\triangle t\right)=1+\triangle t\cdot i\cdot\widehat{H}\left(t\right).$$

Hence for an elements of the operator $\widehat{U}\left(t,\triangle t\right)$ space:

$$i\cdot\widehat{H}=\partial_t.$$

Since the functions $\rho$, $j_x$, $j_y$, $j_z$ fulfill to the continuity equation:

$$\partial_t\rho+\partial_x j_x+\partial_y j_y+\partial_z j_z=0$$

then:

$$\left(\left(\partial_t\psi^\dagger\right)\cdot\beta_0+\left(\partial_x\psi^\dagger\right)\cdot\beta_1+\left(\partial_y\psi^\dagger\right)\cdot\beta_2+\left(\partial_z\psi^\dagger\right)\cdot\beta_3\right)\cdot\psi=$$

$$=-\psi^\dagger\cdot\left(\left(\beta_0\cdot\partial_t+\beta_1\cdot\partial_x+\beta_2\cdot\partial_y+\beta_3\cdot\partial_z\right)\psi\right).$$

Let:

$$\widehat{Q}=\left(i\cdot\widehat{H}+\beta_1\cdot\partial_x+\beta_2\cdot\partial_y+\beta_3\cdot\partial_z\right).$$

Hence:

$$\psi^\dagger\cdot\widehat{Q}^\dagger\cdot\psi=-\psi^\dagger\cdot\widehat{Q}\cdot\psi.$$

Therefore $i\cdot\widehat{Q}$ is the Hermitean operator.
Therefore:

$$\widehat{H}=\beta_1\cdot(i\cdot\partial_x)+\beta_2\cdot(i\cdot\partial_y)+\beta_3\cdot(i\cdot\partial_z)-i\cdot\widehat{Q}.$$

Let

$$-i\cdot\widehat{Q}=$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\varphi_{1,1} & \varphi_{1,2}+i\cdot\varpi_{1,2} & \varphi_{1,3}+i\cdot\varpi_{1,3} & \varphi_{1,4}+i\cdot\varpi_{1,4}\\ \varphi_{1,2}-i\cdot\varpi_{1,2} & \varphi_{2,2} & \varphi_{2,3}+i\cdot\varpi_{2,3} & \varphi_{2,4}+i\cdot\varpi_{2,4}\\ \varphi_{1,3}-i\cdot\varpi_{1,3} & \varphi_{2,3}-i\cdot\varpi_{2,3} & \varphi_{3,3} & \varphi_{3,4}+i\cdot\varpi_{3,4}\\ \varphi_{1,4}-i\cdot\varpi_{1,4} & \varphi_{2,4}-i\cdot\varpi_{2,4} & \varphi_{3,4}-i\cdot\varpi_{3,4} & \varphi_{4,4}\end{array}\right],$$

here all $\varphi_{i,j}$ and $\varpi_{i,j}$ are a real functions on $R^{2+3+1}$.
In this paper I consider the case with:

$$\begin{gathered}\varphi_{1,4}+i\cdot\varpi_{1,4}=0,\\ \varphi_{2,3}+i\cdot\varpi_{2,3}=0,\\ \varphi_{1,3}+i\cdot\varpi_{1,3}=\varphi_{2,4}+i\cdot\varpi_{2,4},\end{gathered}$$

that is the electroweak part of SM [1], only.

Let $G_t$, $G_z$, $K_t$ and $K_z$ are the solution of the following system of equations:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}G_t+G_z+K_t+K_z=\varphi_{1,1},\\ G_t-G_z+K_t-K_z=\varphi_{2,2},\\ G_t-G_z-K_t+K_z=\varphi_{3,3},\\ G_t+G_z-K_t-K_z=\varphi_{4,4};\end{array}\right|$$

$G_x$ and $K_x$ are the solution of the following system of equations:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} G_x + K_x = \varphi_{1,2}, \\ -G_x + K_x = \varphi_{3,4}; \end{array}\right|$$

$G_y$ and $K_y$ are the solution of the following system of equations:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} -G_y - K_y = \varpi_{1,2}, \\ G_y - K_x = \varpi_{3,4}. \end{array}\right|$$

In this case:

$$\begin{gathered} -i \cdot \widehat{Q} = \\ = (G_t \cdot \beta_0 + G_x \cdot \beta_1 + G_y \cdot \beta_2 + G_z \cdot \beta_3) + \\ + (K_t \cdot \beta_0 + K_x \cdot \beta_1 + K_y \cdot \beta_2 + K_z \cdot \beta_3) \cdot \gamma_5 + \\ + \varphi_{1,3} \cdot \gamma_0 + \varpi_{1,3} \cdot \beta_4. \end{gathered}$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{gathered} \beta_0 \cdot \left(\widehat{H} - G_t - K_t \cdot \gamma_5\right) \cdot \psi = \\ = \beta_1 \cdot i \cdot (\partial_x - iG_x - iK_x \cdot \gamma_5) \cdot \psi + \\ + \beta_2 \cdot i \cdot (\partial_y - iG_y - iK_y \cdot \gamma_5) \cdot \psi + \\ + \beta_3 \cdot i \cdot (\partial_z - iG_z - iK_z \cdot \gamma_5) \cdot \psi + \\ + \gamma_0 \cdot \varphi_{1,3} \cdot \psi + \beta_4 \cdot \varpi_{1,3} \cdot \psi. \end{gathered}$$

Let $R_\xi$ and $R_\kappa$ be a functions for which:

$$\begin{aligned} R_\xi \psi &= \varphi_{1,3} \psi - i \partial_\xi \psi, \\ R_\kappa \psi &= \varpi_{1,3} \psi - i \partial_\kappa \psi. \end{aligned}$$

In this case (similar to [3]):

$$\begin{gathered} \beta_0 \cdot \left(\widehat{H} - G_t - K_t \cdot \gamma_5\right) = \\ = \beta_1 \cdot i \cdot (\partial_x - iG_x - iK_x \cdot \gamma_5) + \\ + \beta_2 \cdot i \cdot (\partial_y - iG_y - iK_y \cdot \gamma_5) + \\ + \beta_3 \cdot i \cdot (\partial_z - iG_z - iK_z \cdot \gamma_5) + \\ + \gamma_0 \cdot i \cdot (\partial_\xi - iR_\xi) + \\ + \beta_4 \cdot i \cdot (\partial_\kappa - iR_\kappa). \end{gathered} \tag{2}$$

The equation of motion (the Euler-Lagrange equation) is:

$$\begin{gathered}\beta_0 \cdot i\partial_t \psi + \beta_1 \cdot i\partial_x \psi + \beta_2 \cdot i\partial_y \psi + \beta_3 \cdot i\partial_z \psi + \\ + \beta_5 \cdot i\partial_\xi \psi + \beta_4 \cdot i\partial_\kappa \psi + \\ + \beta_0 \cdot G_t \cdot \psi + \beta_1 \cdot G_x \cdot \psi + \beta_2 \cdot G_y \cdot \psi + \beta_3 \cdot G_z \cdot \psi + \\ + \beta_5 \cdot R_\xi \cdot \psi + \beta_4 \cdot R_\kappa \cdot \psi + \\ + K_t \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \\ + \beta_1 \cdot K_x \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \beta_2 \cdot K_y \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \beta_3 \cdot K_z \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi = \\ = 0.\end{gathered} \tag{3}$$

# 3 The Global Rotations

## 3.1 The rotation of $yOz$, $xOz$ and $xOy$

Let $\alpha$ be a constant real number and:

$$\begin{gathered}y' = y \cdot \cos 2\alpha + z \cdot \sin 2\alpha, \\ z' = z \cdot \cos 2\alpha - y \cdot \sin 2\alpha, \\ t' = t, \\ x' = y, \\ \xi' = \xi, \\ \kappa' = \kappa.\end{gathered}$$

In this case:

$$\begin{gathered}j'_{y'} = j_y \cdot \cos 2\alpha + j_z \cdot \sin 2\alpha, \\ j'_{z'} = j_z \cdot \cos 2\alpha - j_y \cdot \sin 2\alpha, \\ \rho' = \rho, \\ j'_{x'} = j_x, \\ j'_{\xi'} = j_\xi, \\ j'_{\kappa'} = j_\kappa.\end{gathered} \tag{4}$$

Let:

$$U_{2,3} = \cos \alpha \cdot 1_4 + \sin \alpha \cdot \beta_2 \cdot \beta_3$$

and

$$\psi' = U_{2,3} \psi. \tag{5}$$

Because:

$$\begin{gathered}
U^{\dagger}_{2,3}\beta_2 U_{2,3} = \beta_2 \cdot \cos 2\alpha + \beta_3 \cdot \sin 2\alpha, \\
U^{\dagger}_{2,3}\beta_3 U_{2,3} = \beta_3 \cdot \cos 2\alpha - \beta_2 \cdot \sin 2\alpha, \\
U^{\dagger}_{2,3} U_{2,3} = 1_4, \\
U^{\dagger}_{2,3}\beta_1 U_{2,3} = \beta_1, \\
U^{\dagger}_{2,3}\beta_4 U_{2,3} = \beta_4, \\
U^{\dagger}_{2,3}\beta_5 U_{2,3} = \beta_5,
\end{gathered}$$

then from (1) and (4):

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\psi'^{\dagger} \cdot \psi' = \rho', \\
\psi'^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_1 \cdot \psi' = j'_{x'}, \\
\psi'^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_2 \cdot \psi' = j'_{y'}, \\
\psi'^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_3 \cdot \psi' = j'_{z'}
\end{array}\right|$$

and the equation of motion is:

$$\begin{gathered}
\beta_0 \cdot i\partial_{t'}\psi' + \beta_1 \cdot i\partial_{x'}\psi' + \beta_2 \cdot i\partial_{y'}\psi' + \beta_3 \cdot i\partial_{z'}\psi' + \\
+\beta_5 \cdot i\partial_{\xi'}\psi' + \beta_4 \cdot i\partial_{\kappa'}\psi' + \\
+\beta_0 \cdot G'_{t'} \cdot \psi' + \beta_1 \cdot G'_{x'} \cdot \psi' + \beta_2 \cdot G'_{y'} \cdot \psi' + \beta_3 \cdot G'_{z'} \cdot \psi' + \\
+\beta_5 \cdot R'_{\xi'} \cdot \psi' + \beta_4 \cdot R'_{\kappa'} \cdot \psi' + \\
+K'_{t'} \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi' + \\
+\beta_1 \cdot K'_{x'} \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi' + \beta_2 \cdot K'_{y'} \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi' + \beta_3 \cdot K'_{z'} \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi' = \\
= 0.
\end{gathered} \tag{6}$$

Since:

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_2 \cdot U_{2,3} &= U_{2,3} \cdot (\beta_2 \cdot \cos 2\alpha + \beta_3 \cdot \sin 2\alpha), \\
\beta_3 \cdot U_{2,3} &= U_{2,3} \cdot (\beta_3 \cdot \cos 2\alpha - \beta_2 \cdot \sin 2\alpha), \\
\beta_1 \cdot U_{2,3} &= U_{2,3} \cdot \beta_1, \\
\beta_4 \cdot U_{2,3} &= U_{2,3} \cdot \beta_4, \\
\beta_5 \cdot U_{2,3} &= U_{2,3} \cdot \beta_5
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered}
\partial_{y'}\psi = \partial_y\psi \cdot \cos 2\alpha + \partial_z\psi \cdot \sin 2\alpha, \\
\partial_{z'}\psi = -\partial_y\psi \cdot \sin 2\alpha + \partial_z\psi \cdot \cos 2\alpha
\end{gathered}$$

then from (6) by (5) the following equation is derived:

$$\begin{gathered}
\beta_0 \cdot i\partial_t\psi + \beta_1 \cdot i\partial_x\psi + \beta_2 \cdot i\partial_y\psi + \beta_3 \cdot i\partial_z\psi + \beta_5 \cdot i\partial_\xi\psi + \beta_4 \cdot i\partial_\kappa\psi \\
+\beta_0 \cdot G'_{t'} \cdot \psi + \beta_1 \cdot G'_{x'} \cdot \psi + \\
+\beta_2 \cdot \left(G'_{y'} \cdot \cos 2\alpha - G'_{z'} \cdot \sin 2\alpha\right) \cdot \psi + \\
+\beta_3 \cdot \left(G'_{z'} \cdot \cos 2\alpha + G'_{y'} \cdot \sin 2\alpha\right) \cdot \psi + \\
+K'_{t'} \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \beta_1 \cdot K'_{x'} \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \\
+\beta_2 \cdot \left(K'_{y'} \cdot \cos 2\alpha - K'_{z'} \cdot \sin 2\alpha\right) \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \\
+\beta_3 \cdot \left(K'_{z'} \cdot \cos 2\alpha + K'_{y'} \cdot \sin 2\alpha\right) \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \\
+\beta_5 \cdot R'_{\xi'} \cdot \psi + \beta_4 \cdot R'_{\kappa'} \cdot \psi = 0.
\end{gathered}$$

From it and from (3):

$$\begin{gathered}
G_y = G'_{y'} \cdot \cos 2\alpha - G'_{z'} \cdot \sin 2\alpha, \\
G_z = G'_{z'} \cdot \cos 2\alpha + G'_{y'} \cdot \sin 2\alpha, \\
G_t = G'_{t'}, \\
G_x = G'_{x'}, \\
R_\xi = R'_{\xi'}, \\
R'_{\kappa'} = R_\kappa
\end{gathered}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered}
K_y = K'_{y'} \cdot \cos 2\alpha - K'_{z'} \cdot \sin 2\alpha, \\
K_z = K'_{z'} \cdot \cos 2\alpha + K'_{y'} \cdot \sin 2\alpha, \\
K_t = K'_{t'}, \\
K_x = K'_{x'}.
\end{gathered}$$

Like to above, the rotation of $xOz$ and $xOy$ behave with:

$$U_{1,3} = \cos\alpha \cdot 1_4 + \sin\alpha \cdot \beta_1 \cdot \beta_3$$

and

$$U_{1,2} = \cos\alpha \cdot 1_4 + \sin\alpha \cdot \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2$$

with accordance.

Therefore the triplets $\{G_x, G_y, G_z\}$ and $\{K_x, K_y, K_z\}$ behave as a 3-vectors for the rotations of the Cartesian coordinates system.

## 3.2 The rotation of $tOx$, $tOy$ and $tOz$

Let $v$ be a real constant number, for which: $|v| < 1$.

Let $\alpha$ be a real number, for which:

$$\sinh(\alpha) = \frac{v}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}.$$

Let:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= t" \cdot \cosh 2\alpha - x" \cdot \sinh 2\alpha, \\ x &= x" \cdot \cosh 2\alpha - t" \cdot \sinh 2\alpha, \\ y &= y", \\ z &= z", \\ \xi &= \xi", \\ \kappa &= \kappa". \end{aligned}$$

In this case:

$$\begin{gathered} \rho" = \rho \cdot \cosh 2\alpha + j_x \cdot \sinh 2\alpha, \\ j_{x"}" = j_x \cdot \cosh 2\alpha + \rho \cdot \sinh 2\alpha, \\ j_{y"}" = j_y, \\ j_{z"}" = j_z, \\ j_{\xi"}" = j_\xi, \\ j_{\kappa"}" = j_\kappa. \end{gathered} \tag{7}$$

Let

$$U_{0,1} = \cosh\alpha \cdot \beta_0 + \sinh\alpha \cdot \beta_0 \cdot \beta_1$$

and

$$\psi" = U_{0,1}\psi.$$

Because

$$\begin{gathered} U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_0 \cdot U_{0,1} = \cosh 2\alpha \cdot \beta_0 + \sinh 2\alpha \cdot \beta_1 \\ U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_1 \cdot U_{0,1} = \cosh 2\alpha \cdot \beta_1 + \sinh 2\alpha \cdot \beta_0 \\ U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_2 \cdot U_{0,1} = \beta_2 \\ U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_3 \cdot U_{0,1} = \beta_3 \\ U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_5 \cdot U_{0,1} = \beta_5 \\ U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_4 \cdot U_{0,1} = \beta_4 \end{gathered} \tag{8}$$

then from (1) and (7):

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \psi" \cdot \psi" = \rho", \\ \psi" \cdot \beta_1 \cdot \psi" = j_{x"}", \\ \psi" \cdot \beta_2 \cdot \psi" = j_{y"}", \\ \psi" \cdot \beta_3 \cdot \psi" = j_{z"}" \end{array}\right|$$

and the equation of motion is:

$$\begin{gathered} \beta_0 \cdot i\partial_{t"} \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \beta_1 \cdot i\partial_{x"} \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \\ +\beta_2 \cdot i\partial_{y"} \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \beta_3 \cdot i\partial_{z"} \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \\ +\beta_5 \cdot i\partial_{\xi"} \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \beta_4 \cdot i\partial_{\kappa"} \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \\ +\beta_0 \cdot G_{t"}" \cdot \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \beta_1 \cdot G_{x"}" \cdot \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \\ +\beta_2 \cdot G_{y"}" \cdot \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \beta_3 \cdot G_{z"}" \cdot \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \\ +\beta_5 \cdot R_{\xi"}" \cdot \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \beta_4 \cdot R_{\kappa"}" \cdot \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \\ +K_{t"}" \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \beta_1 \cdot K_{x"}" \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \\ +\beta_2 \cdot K_{y"}" \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) + \beta_3 \cdot K_{z"}" \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \left(U_{0,1}\psi\right) = \\ = 0; \end{gathered}$$

hence:

$$\begin{gathered} U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot i\partial_{t"}\psi + U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_1 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot i\partial_{x"}\psi + \\ +U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_2 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot i\partial_{y"}\psi + U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_3 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot i\partial_{z"}\psi + \\ +U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_5 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot i\partial_{\xi"}\psi + U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_4 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot i\partial_{\kappa"}\psi + \\ +U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot G_{t"}" \cdot \psi + U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_1 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot G_{x"}" \cdot \psi + \\ +U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_2 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot G_{y"}" \cdot \psi + U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_3 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot G_{z"}" \cdot \psi + \\ +U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_5 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot R_{\xi"}" \cdot \psi + U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_4 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot R_{\kappa"}" \cdot \psi + \\ +U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot K_{t"}" \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot \psi + \\ +U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_1 \cdot K_{x"}" \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot \psi + \\ +U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_2 \cdot K_{y"}" \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot \psi + \\ +U_{0,1}^{\dagger} \cdot \beta_3 \cdot K_{z"}" \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot U_{0,1} \cdot \psi = \\ = 0. \end{gathered}$$

Since

$$\gamma_5 \cdot U_{0,1} = U_{0,1} \cdot \gamma_5$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t"}\psi &= \left(\partial_t\psi\cdot\cosh 2\alpha - \partial_x\psi\cdot\sinh 2\alpha\right),\\
\partial_{x"}\psi &= \left(\partial_x\psi\cdot\cosh 2\alpha - \partial_t\psi\cdot\sinh 2\alpha\right)
\end{aligned}$$

then from (8)

$$\begin{gathered}
\beta_0\cdot i\partial_t\psi+\beta_1\cdot i\partial_x\psi+\beta_2\cdot i\partial_y\psi+\beta_3\cdot i\partial_z\psi+\beta_5\cdot i\partial_\xi\psi+\beta_4\cdot i\partial_\kappa\psi+\\
+\beta_0\cdot\left(\cosh 2\alpha\cdot G_{t"}"+\sinh 2\alpha\cdot G_{x"}"\right)\cdot\psi+\\
+\beta_1\cdot\left(\cosh 2\alpha\cdot G_{x"}"+\sinh 2\alpha\cdot G_{t"}"\right)\cdot\psi+\\
+\beta_2\cdot G_{y"}"\cdot\psi+\beta_3\cdot G_{z"}"\cdot\psi+\beta_5\cdot R_{\xi"}"\cdot\psi+\beta_4\cdot R_{\kappa"}"\cdot\psi+\\
+\left(\cosh 2\alpha\cdot K_{t"}"+\sinh 2\alpha\cdot K_{x"}"\right)\cdot\gamma_5\cdot\psi+\\
+\beta_1\cdot\left(\cosh 2\alpha\cdot K_{x"}"+\sinh 2\alpha\cdot K_{t"}"\right)\cdot\gamma_5\cdot\psi+\\
+\beta_2\cdot K_{y"}"\cdot\gamma_5\cdot\psi+\beta_3\cdot K_{z"}"\cdot\gamma_5\cdot\psi=\\
=0.
\end{gathered}$$

From it and from (3):

$$\begin{gathered}
G_t=\cosh 2\alpha\cdot G_{t"}"+\sinh 2\alpha\cdot G_{x"}",\\
G_x=\cosh 2\alpha\cdot G_{x"}"+\sinh 2\alpha\cdot G_{t"}",\\
G_y=G_{y"}",\\
G_z=G_{z"}",\\
R_\xi=R_{\xi"}",\\
R_\kappa=R_{\kappa"}"
\end{gathered}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered}
K_t=\cosh 2\alpha\cdot K_{t"}"+\sinh 2\alpha\cdot K_{x"}",\\
K_x=\cosh 2\alpha\cdot K_{x"}"+\sinh 2\alpha\cdot K_{t"}",\\
K_y=K_{y"}",\\
K_z=K_{z"}".
\end{gathered}$$

Like to above, the rotation of $tOz$ and $tOy$ behave with:

$$U_{0,3}=\cosh\alpha\cdot\beta_0+\sinh\alpha\cdot\beta_0\cdot\beta_3$$

and

$$U_{0,2}=\cosh\alpha\cdot\beta_0+\sinh\alpha\cdot\beta_0\cdot\beta_2$$

with accordance.

# 4 The Local Rotations of $\xi O\kappa$

Let $\theta$ be a real function of $(t, x, y, z, \xi, \kappa)$ for which: $\partial_\xi\theta = 0$ and $\partial_\kappa\theta = 0$ and:

$$\begin{gathered} t` = t, \\ x` = x, \\ y` = y, \\ z` = z, \\ \xi` = \xi \cdot \cos 2\theta + \kappa \cdot \sin 2\theta, \\ \kappa` = \kappa \cdot \cos 2\theta - \xi \cdot \sin 2\theta. \end{gathered}$$

(This transformation corresponds to the weak isospin transformation [4]) In this case:

$$\begin{gathered} \rho` = \rho, \\ j_x` = j_x, \\ j_y` = j_y, \\ j_z` = j_z, \\ j_\xi` = j_\xi \cdot \cos 2\theta + j_\kappa \cdot \sin 2\theta, \\ j_\kappa` = j_\kappa \cdot \cos 2\theta - j_\xi \cdot \sin 2\theta. \end{gathered}$$

Let:

$$U_{5,4} = \cos\theta \cdot 1_4 + \sin\theta \cdot \beta_5 \cdot \beta_4$$

and

$$\psi` = (U_{5,4}\psi).$$

Because

$$\begin{gathered} U_{5,4}^\dagger \cdot U_{5,4} = 1_4, \\ U_{5,4}^\dagger \cdot \beta_1 \cdot U_{5,4} = \beta_1, \\ U_{5,4}^\dagger \cdot \beta_2 \cdot U_{5,4} = \beta_2, \\ U_{5,4}^\dagger \cdot \beta_3 \cdot U_{5,4} = \beta_3, \\ U_{5,4}^\dagger \cdot \beta_5 \cdot U_{5,4} = \beta_5 \cdot \cos 2\theta + \beta_4 \cdot \sin 2\theta, \\ U_{5,4}^\dagger \cdot \beta_4 \cdot U_{5,4} = \beta_4 \cdot \cos 2\theta - \beta_5 \cdot \sin 2\theta \end{gathered} \tag{9}$$

then from above and from (1):

$$\begin{gathered}
\psi^{‘\dagger} \cdot \psi‘ = \rho‘, \\
\psi^{‘\dagger} \cdot \beta_1 \cdot \psi‘ = j_x‘, \\
\psi^{‘\dagger} \cdot \beta_2 \cdot \psi‘ = j_y‘, \\
\psi^{‘\dagger} \cdot \beta_3 \cdot \psi‘ = j_z‘.
\end{gathered}$$

and the equation of motion is:

$$\begin{gathered}
\beta_0 \cdot i\partial_{t‘} \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \beta_1 \cdot i\partial_{x‘} \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \beta_2 \cdot i\partial_{y‘} \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \beta_3 \cdot i\partial_{z‘} \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \\
+ \beta_5 \cdot i\partial_{\xi‘} \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \beta_4 \cdot i\partial_{\kappa‘} \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \\
+ \beta_0 \cdot G_{t‘}‘ \cdot \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + + \beta_1 \cdot G_{x‘}‘ \cdot \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \\
+ \beta_2 \cdot G_{y‘}‘ \cdot \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \beta_3 \cdot G_{z‘}‘ \cdot \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \\
+ \beta_5 \cdot R_{\xi‘}‘ \cdot \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \beta_4 \cdot R_{\kappa‘}‘ \cdot \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \\
+ K_{t‘}‘ \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \beta_1 \cdot K_{x‘}‘ \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \\
+ \beta_2 \cdot K_{y‘}‘ \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) + \beta_3 \cdot K_{z‘}‘ \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \left(U_{5,4}\psi\right) = \\
= 0.
\end{gathered}$$

Since

$$\gamma_5 U_{5,4} = U_{5,4} \gamma_5$$

and

$$\partial_\mu U_{5,4} = -i\partial_\mu \theta \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot U_{5,4}$$

for all variables $\mu$ then

$$\begin{gathered}
U_{5,4} \cdot \partial_t \theta \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + U_{5,4} \cdot \beta_0 \cdot i\partial_t \psi + \beta_1 U_{5,4} \cdot \partial_x \theta \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \beta_1 U_{5,4} \cdot i\partial_x \psi + \\
+ \beta_2 U_{5,4} \cdot \partial_y \theta \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \beta_2 U_{5,4} \cdot i\partial_y \psi + \beta_3 U_{5,4} \cdot \partial_z \theta \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \beta_3 U_{5,4} \cdot i\partial_z \psi + \\
+ \beta_5 U_{5,4} \cdot i\partial_{\xi‘} \psi + \beta_4 U_{5,4} \cdot i\partial_{\kappa‘} \psi + \\
+ G_{t‘}‘ \cdot U_{5,4}\psi + \beta_1 U_{5,4} \cdot G_{x‘}‘ \cdot \psi + \beta_2 U_{5,4} \cdot G_{y‘}‘ \cdot \psi + \beta_3 U_{5,4} \cdot G_{z‘}‘ \cdot \psi + \\
+ \beta_5 U_{5,4} \cdot R_{\xi‘}‘ \cdot \psi + \beta_4 U_{5,4} \cdot R_{\kappa‘}‘ \cdot \psi + \\
+ U_{5,4} \cdot K_{t‘}‘ \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \\
+ \beta_1 U_{5,4} \cdot K_{x‘}‘ \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \beta_2 U_{5,4} \cdot K_{y‘}‘ \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \beta_3 U_{5,4} \cdot K_{z‘}‘ \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi = \\
= 0.
\end{gathered}$$

Since

$$\begin{gathered}
\partial_{\xi‘} \psi = \partial_\xi \psi \cdot \cos 2\theta + \partial_\kappa \psi \cdot \sin 2\theta, \\
\partial_{\kappa‘} \psi = \partial_\kappa \psi \cdot \cos 2\theta - \partial_\xi \psi \cdot \sin 2\theta
\end{gathered}$$

then from above and from (9):

$$\begin{gathered}
\beta_0 \cdot i\partial_t\psi + \beta_1 \cdot i\partial_x\psi + \beta_2 \cdot i\partial_y\psi + \beta_3 \cdot i\partial_z\psi + \\
+\beta_5 \cdot i\partial_\xi\psi + \beta_4 \cdot i\partial_\kappa\psi + \\
+\beta_0 \cdot G_{t\text{‘}}\text{‘} \cdot \psi + \beta_1 \cdot G_{x\text{‘}}\text{‘} \cdot \psi + \beta_2 \cdot G_{y\text{‘}}\text{‘} \cdot \psi + \beta_3 \cdot G_{z\text{‘}}\text{‘} \cdot \psi + \\
+\beta_5 \cdot (\cos 2\theta \cdot R_{\xi\text{‘}}\text{‘} - \sin 2\theta \cdot R_4\text{‘}) \cdot \psi + \\
+\beta_4 \cdot (\cos 2\theta \cdot R_{\kappa\text{‘}}\text{‘} + \sin 2\theta \cdot R_{\xi\text{‘}}\text{‘}) \cdot \psi + \\
+(K_{t\text{‘}}\text{‘} + \partial_t\theta) \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \beta_1 \cdot (K_{x\text{‘}}\text{‘} + \partial_x\theta) \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \\
+\beta_2 \cdot (K_{y\text{‘}}\text{‘} + \partial_y\theta) \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi + \beta_3 \cdot (K_{z\text{‘}}\text{‘} + \partial_z\theta) \cdot \gamma_5 \cdot \psi = \\
= 0.
\end{gathered}$$

From above and from (3):

$$\begin{gathered}
K_{t\text{‘}}\text{‘} + \partial_t\theta = K_t, \\
K_{x\text{‘}}\text{‘} + \partial_x\theta = K_x, \\
K_{y\text{‘}}\text{‘} + \partial_y\theta = K_y, \\
K_{z\text{‘}}\text{‘} + \partial_z\theta = K_z, \\
\cos 2\theta \cdot R_{\xi\text{‘}}\text{‘} - \sin 2\theta \cdot R_{\kappa\text{‘}}\text{‘} = R_\xi, \\
\cos 2\theta \cdot R_{\kappa\text{‘}}\text{‘} + \sin 2\theta \cdot R_{\xi\text{‘}}\text{‘} = R_\kappa.
\end{gathered}$$

# 5 The Lagrangians

If

$$K_\xi = Re\,(R_\xi) \text{ and } K_\kappa = Re\,(R_\kappa)$$

then the Lagrangian for the Hamiltonian (2) is the following:

$$\mathcal{L}_f = 0.5 \cdot i \cdot$$

$$\cdot \left( \begin{array}{c}
\psi^\dagger\beta_0\partial_t\psi + \psi^\dagger\beta_1\partial_x\psi + \psi^\dagger\beta_2\partial_y\psi + \psi^\dagger\beta_3\partial_z\psi + \psi^\dagger\beta_5\partial_\xi\psi + \psi^\dagger\beta_4\partial_\kappa\psi - \\
-(\partial_t\psi)^\dagger\beta_0\psi - (\partial_x\psi)^\dagger\beta_1\psi - (\partial_y\psi)^\dagger\beta_2\psi - (\partial_z\psi)^\dagger\beta_3\psi - \\
-(\partial_\xi\psi)^\dagger\beta_5\psi - (\partial_\kappa\psi)^\dagger\beta_4\psi
\end{array} \right) +$$

$$+ \left( \begin{array}{c}
\psi^\dagger\beta_0 G_t\psi + \psi^\dagger\beta_1 G_x\psi + \psi^\dagger\beta_2 G_y\psi + \psi^\dagger\beta_3 G_z\psi + \\
+\psi^\dagger K_t\gamma_5\psi + \psi^\dagger\beta_1 K_x\gamma_5\psi + \psi^\dagger\beta_2 K_y\gamma_5\psi + \psi^\dagger\beta_3 K_z\gamma_5\psi + \\
+\psi^\dagger\beta_5 K_\xi\psi + \psi^\dagger\beta_4 K_\kappa\psi
\end{array} \right).$$

If

$$H_{\mu,\nu} = \partial_\mu K_\nu - \partial_\nu K_\mu$$

and

$$H =$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & H_{t,x} & H_{t,y} & H_{t,z} & H_{t,\kappa} & H_{t,\xi} \\ -H_{t,x} & 0 & H_{x,y} & H_{x,z} & H_{x,\kappa} & H_{x,\xi} \\ -H_{t,y} & -H_{x,y} & 0 & H_{y,z} & H_{y,\kappa} & H_{y,\xi} \\ -H_{t,z} & -H_{x,z} & -H_{y,z} & 0 & H_{z,\kappa} & H_{z,\xi} \\ -H_{t,\kappa} & -H_{x,\kappa} & -H_{y,\kappa} & -H_{z,\kappa} & 0 & H_{\kappa,\xi} \\ -H_{t,\xi} & -H_{x,\xi} & -H_{y,\xi} & -H_{z,\xi} & -H_{\kappa,\xi} & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

then the Lagrangian for $K_\mu$ $(0 \leq \mu \leq 5)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_K = H^\dagger \cdot H.$$

The Lagrange-Euler equation for $K_\mu$ is:

$$\begin{gathered}\partial_t\partial_t K_\mu + \partial_x\partial_x K_\mu + \partial_y\partial_y K_\mu + \partial_z\partial_z K_\mu + \partial_\xi\partial_\xi K_\mu + \partial_\kappa\partial_\kappa K_\mu - \\ -\partial_\mu\left(\partial_t K_t + \partial_x K_x + \partial_y K_y + \partial_z K_z + \partial_\xi K_\xi + \partial_\kappa K_\kappa\right) = 0.\end{gathered}$$

If

$$\partial_t K_t + \partial_x K_x + \partial_y K_y + \partial_z K_z + \partial_\xi K_\xi + \partial_\kappa K_\kappa = 0$$

then

$$\partial_t\partial_t K_\mu + \partial_x\partial_x K_\mu + \partial_y\partial_y K_\mu + \partial_z\partial_z K_\mu = -\left(\partial_\xi\partial_\xi K_\mu + \partial_\kappa\partial_\kappa K_\mu\right).$$

If $m_5$ and $m_4$ are a constant real numbers and for some function $W\mu\left(t,x,y,z\right)$:

$$K_\mu\left(t,x,y,z,\xi,\kappa\right) = W\mu\left(t,x,y,z\right) \cdot \exp\left(i \cdot \left(m_5 \cdot \xi + m_4 \cdot \kappa\right)\right)$$

then the particle, defined by the field $K_\mu$, has got the mass:

$$m = \sqrt{m_5^2 + m_4^2}.$$

It is a massive gauge boson.

# References

[1] G. Quznetsov, The Probability Distribution to Leptons and Quarks. http://arXiv.org/abs/physics/9904024

[2] for instance, E. Madelung, *Die Mathematischen Hilfsmittel des Physikers.* (Springer Verlag, 1957)

[3] R. L. Ingraham, Particle masses and the fifth dimension. http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0005118

[4] G. Quznetsov, Why the Parity Violation. http://arXiv.org/abs/physics/9906058